

PLBA 2000 Fall 2018 – Legal History – Prof. John Allen
Grading Rubric for Assignment 1

As described in assignment one, there are three components, in which you individually propose a plan to analyze and assess the identified Canadian legal history issue and explain why it is historically significant. Your individual proposal should include: (1) a research plan, (2) a preliminary analysis of the issue, and (3) a workplan and how you propose that the work be shared among your group. Obviously, larger groups should have more components to the project.

There are no required number of pages, but I would expect that you would need at least five pages to explain your project proposal.

Assignment one is worth 15%. However, 1% will be deducted for every day late, unless I approve your excuse in advance.

Same factors apply to all components	Excellent to Exceptional 90%-99%	Very Good to Excellent 80%-89%	Good to Very Good 75%-79%	Satisfactory to Good 70%-74%	Meets Minimum Expectations 60%-69%	Barely Meets Minimum Expectations 50%-59%	Fails to Meet Minimal Expectations Less than 50%
(1) Research plan	Discussion demonstrates hard and honest thinking and <u>strong insight</u>	Discussion demonstrates clear and fair thinking and <u>good insight</u> .	Discussion demonstrates solid and <u>reasonable thinking and insight</u> .	Discussion demonstrates reasonable but less solid effort to reflect and <u>some insight</u> .	Discussion demonstrates weak or minimal effort to reflect <u>weak insight</u> .	Discussion demonstrates very weak or little significant effort to reflect and <u>little to no insight</u> .	No clear evidence of effort to reflect and <u>no insight</u> .
(2) Preliminary analysis of issue							
(3) Workplan and how work to be share							